## Bell, Timothy

| ID: TBELL | Teacher: Mrs. S. Fox |
| :--- | :--- |
| Grade: 4 | Class: Mrs. Fox's Class |


| District Benchmarks - Grade 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| V |  |
| - Urgent Intervention Intervention $■$ On Watch $■$ At/Above Benchmark |  |
| STAR Math ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Scores |  |
| SS: 563 (Scaled Score) $\quad$ On Watch | Timothy's Scaled Score is in the On Watch range for students in this grade. |
| PR: 29 (Percentile Rank) | Timothy scored greater than 29\% of students nationally in the same grade. |
| GE: 3.3 (Grade Equivalent) | Timothy's test performance is comparable to that of an average third grader in the third month of the school year. |
| FGL: (Functional Grade Level) | FGL represents the student's level of mastery of grade-level Common Core State Standards. See Functional Grade Level (FGL) Score for STAR Math ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ for more information about using Scaled Score as an indicator of mastery. |
| Common Core State Standards Domain Scores |  |
| Operations and Algebraic Thinking: 45 Timothy's Number and Operations in Base Te performance Geometry: 34 compared to Measurement and Data: 30 grade level expectations. | Domain scores, ranging from 0-100, estimate Timothy's percent of mastery on skills in each domain at a fourth grade level. |
| Algebra Readiness |  |
| Timothy is not yet meeting grade level expectations for algebra readiness. |  |
| Math Recommendation |  |
| Accerated Math ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Library: Grade 3 | If you are using the Accelerated Math management software system with Timothy, assign the Grade 3 library. This library should provide a good match for his abilities. |
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## Bell, Timothy

ID: TBELL Teacher: Mrs. S. Fox<br>Grade: 4<br>Class: Mrs. Fox's Class

## Skill Details

Skill Area scores, ranging from 0-100, estimate Timothy's percent of mastery of skills in each skill area. Use Common Core State Standards learning progressions to find teacher activities and $\quad$ problems for skills in each skill area.
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## Bell, Timothy

Class: Mrs. Fox's Class
Grade: 4
Teacher: Mrs. S. Fox
This report presents diagnostic information about the student's general skills in mathematics, based on the student's performance on a STAR Math test.

## Score Summary

| SS | GE | PR | PR <br> Range | Below Average 1 | PR and PR Range <br> Average <br> 50 | Above Average 99 | NCE | Recommended Accelerated Math ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Library |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 563 | 3.3 | 29 | 23-33 |  |  |  | 38.3 | Grade 3 |

This student's Grade Equivalent (GE) score is 3.3. His test performance is therefore comparable to that of an average third grader after the third month of the school year. Timothy achieved a national Percentile Rank (PR) of 29. This score is in the average range and means that Timothy scored higher than $29 \%$ of students nationally in the same grade. The PR Range indicates that, if this student had taken the STAR Math test numerous times, most of his scores would likely have fallen between 23 and 33 . It reflects the amount of statistical variability in a student's PR score.

These scores suggest that Timothy understands three-digit numbers. He should begin working with four-digit numbers (thousands). Next, Timothy should learn hundred thousands and millions. Emphasizing the place-value of digits is important at this stage. He should continue to practice adding and subtracting three-digit numbers with regrouping. Next, Timothy can begin working with four-digit numbers with regrouping. He should also be working toward mastery of multiplication and division basic facts.

At this stage, Timothy needs to:

- Begin working with four-digit numbers
- Begin to learn hundred thousands and millions
- Practice adding and subtracting three-digit numbers with regrouping
- Begin to learn adding and subtracting four-digit numbers with regrouping
- Continue to learn to estimate by rounding
- Learn to use a calculator as a tool to aid learning


The bar charts below reflect Timothy's level of proficiency within the Numeration and Computation objectives in STAR Math. The solid black line is pointing to the math skills Timothy is currently developing.

## Numeration Objectives



If you are using the Accelerated Math management software system with Timothy, assign the Grade 3 library. This library should provide a good match for his abilities.

These recommendations rely on analysis of the student's performance on one STAR Math test. Please combine this information with your own knowledge of the student, and use your professional judgment when designing an instructional program.

## Growth Proficiency Chart

District Schools


| Proficiency |
| :--- |
| Tennessee TCAP |
| Grade-specific values $\quad$ View |

Growth
Fall to Winter SGP

Grade
All Grades
all to Winter SGP

| $\nabla$ | $\nabla$ |
| :--- | :--- |

Oakwood Elementary - Teachers


School: Oakwood Elementary School
SGP Fall window: 8/1/2011 - 10/15/2012 SGP Winter window: 12/15/2012-2/15/2012

## Report Options

Reporting Parameter Group: All Demographics [Default] Group By: Teacher

School: Oakwood Elementary School


| Teacher | Class | Total Students Included | Grade | Test date | Median SGP Fall-Win | Averages |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | SS | GE | PR | NCE |
| Boyd, Mark | Grade 3 (Boyd) | 18 | 3 | Pretest |  | 493 | 2.6 | 34 | 41.3 |
|  |  |  |  | Posttest |  | 544 | 3.1 | 40 | 44.7 |
|  |  |  |  | Change | 37 | +51 | +0.5 | +6 | +3.4 |
| Ellis, Anne | Grade 3 (Ellis) | 18 | 3 | Pretest |  | 543 | 3.1 | 56 | 53.2 |
|  |  |  |  | Posttest |  | 585 | 3.5 | 59 | 54.8 |
|  |  |  |  | Change | 38 | +42 | +0.4 | +3 | +1.6 |
| Fox, Susan | Mrs. Fox's Class | 15 | 4 | Pretest |  | 575 | 3.7 | 37 | 43.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Posttest |  | 668 | 4.9 | 66 | 58.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 73 | +93 | +1.2 | +29 | +15.5 |
| Latner, Karen | Grade 4 (Latner) | 19 | 4 | SGP helps answer the question: "are my students meeting growth expectations?" |  | 635 | 4.3 | 49 | 49.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 674 | 5.0 | 68 | 63.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 46 | +49 | +0.7 | +19 | +14.3 |
| Marquette, Dee | Grade 5 (Marquette) | 21 | 5 |  |  | 601 | 3.7 | 23 | 34.4 |
|  |  |  |  | Posttest |  | 663 | 4.8 | 37 | 43.0 |
|  |  |  |  | Change | 51 | +62 | +1.1 | +12 | +7.6 |
| Ross, Brian | Grade 5 Ross | 22 | 5 | Pretest |  | 659 | 4.7 | 44 | 46.8 |
|  |  |  |  | Posttest |  | 745 | 6.3 | 75 | 64.2 |
|  |  |  |  | Change | 71 | +86 | +1.6 | +31 | +17.4 |
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## Growth Proficiency Chart

## 8 <br> Live Chat Support Available




## Class: Mrs. Fox's Class

Teacher: Fox, Susan

| Instructional | Number of |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | Students | Scaled Score (0-1400) |  |
| Group 1 | 7 | Median | Range |
| Group 2 | 3 | 557 | $602-782$ |
| Group 3 | 5 | 448 | $537-574$ |

## Skills to Learn

Skill recommendations are based on the median score for each Instructional Group. These skills are a starting point for instructional planning. Combine this information with your own knowledge of the student and use your professional judgment when designing an instructional program. Use Core Progress ${ }^{\mathbb{T M}}$ learning progression for math to find additional information for each skill, worked examples, and example problems.

Students
Group 1 rank order.

## Students

Thiess, Kimberly; O'Neil, Sarah; Richmond, Angela; Halden, Susan; Anderson, Marcus; Chang, Mich

## Numbers and Operations

1.     - Add fractions with like denominators no greater than 10
2. Add fractions with like denominators no greater than 10 and simplify the sum
3. WP: Add fractions with like denominators no greater than 10 and simplify the sum

4. O Subtract fractions with like denominators no greater than 10 using models
5. Subtract fractions with like denominators no greater than 10


## Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability

1. Answer a question using information from a line graph that does not start at zero or has a broken vertical scalo
2. WP: Extend a line graph to solve a problem
3. Read a double- or stacked-bar graph
4. Use a double- or stacked-bar graph to represent data
5. Answer a question using information from a double- or stacked-bar graph

Page 1 of a
multipage report
Remaining groups are shown on following pages.

## Class: Mrs. Fox's Class

Teacher: Fox, Susan

## Group 2

## Students

Delacruz, Benito; Bell, Timothy; Bollig, Brandon

## Numbers and Operations

1. Identify a unit fraction as part of a whole
2. Identify a unit fraction as part of a set of objects
3. Identify a fraction as part of a set of objects
4. Identify a fraction as part of a whole
5. Relate a fraction equal to a whole to a pictorial model

## Algebra

| 1. | Determine the missing ad ber sentence involving 3-digit numbers |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | Determine the missin Students will ${ }^{\text {aber sentence involving 3-digit numbers }}$ |
| 3. | Determine the missi benefit from working ber sentence involving basic facts |
| 4. | Determine the miss on all the skills listed number sentence involving basic facts |
| 5. | Recognize equivale the Core skills are n expressions involving basic facts |
| Geometry and Measureme. improvement. |  |
| 1. Tell time to the minute |  |
| 2. | Convert hours to minutes or minutes to seconds |
| 3. | Calculate elapsed time within an hour, given two clocks, without regrouping |
| 4. | Calculate elapsed time within an hour, given two clocks, with regrouping |
|  | WP: Calculate elapsed time within an hour given two clocks |

## Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability

There are no recommended skills for this domain at this difficulty level.

## Group 3

## Students

Santos, Juan; Riccio, Sara; Unger, Jerry; Mailloux, Louis; Aschenbrenner, Chris

## Numbers and Operations

1. Apply the relationship between addition and counting on
2. Apply the relationship between subtraction and counting back

0 Know basic addition facts to 10 plus 10
4. O Know basic subtraction facts to 20 minus 10
5. Add three 1-digit numbers

[^3]- Designates a core skill. Core skills identify the most critical skills to learn at each grade level.


## Class: Mrs. Fox’s Class

Teacher: Fox, Susan

## Group 3

## Algebra

2. Extend a pictorial growth pattern
3. Determine a pattern on a 1 to 100 chart
4. Complete a pattern on a 1 to 100 chart
5. Determine a missing addend in a number sentence involving 2-digit numbers

## Geometry and Measurement

O Measure length in inches
O Measure length in centimeters

- Tell time to the quarter hour

O Tell time to 5 -minute intervals
WP: Calculate elapsed time

## Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability

1. Read a multi-category tally chart
2. Use a tally chart to represent data
3. Answer a question using information from a tally chart
4. Read a pictograph ( 1 symbol $=$ more than 1 object)
5. O Use a pictograph to represent data ( 1 symbol = more than 1 object)

School: Oakwood Elementary School
Class: Mrs. Fox's Class
student is doing and get recommendations < for skills the student 4 should work on

## STAR Math Enterprise Test Results

Current SS (Scaled Score): 563 Test Date: 09/08/2011
Algebra Readiness: Timothy is not yet meeting grade level expectations for algebra readiness.
Projected SS for 06/10/11: 671 Based on research, $50 \%$ of students at this student's ley Graph shows much growth.

## Timothy's Current Performance



## Skills to Learn

Skills listed below are suggested skills Timothy should work on based on his last STAR Math Enterprise Test. These skills should be challenging, but not too difficult for Timothy. Combine this information with your own knowledge of the student and use your professional judgment when designing an instructional program. Use Core Progress ${ }^{T M}$ learning progression for math to find additional information for each skill, worked examples, and example problems.

## Numbers and Operations

This score suggests Timothy has an understanding of addition and subtraction with regrouping and modeling basic multiplication and division. Based on this score, Timothy should practice modeling simple fractions. Timothy should continue practicing addition and subtraction facts until automaticity is achieved and should begin to practice basic multiplication facts.

## Skills to Learn

1. Identify a unit fraction as part of a whole
2. Identify a unit fraction as part of a set of objects

Use this list of skills to help
3. Identify a fraction as part of a set of objects plan instruction for Timothy.
4. Identify a fraction as part of a whole
5. Relate a fraction equal to a whole to a pictorial model

## Algebra

This score suggests Timothy has an understanding of how to extend and determine rules for number pa ad on this score, Timothy should practice finding missing terms in simple multiplication and division number sen ${ }^{+}$Find more

| Skills to Learn | information using |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Determine the missing addend in a number sentence involving 3-digit numbers | the Math Learning |
| 2. | Determine the missing subtrahend in a number sentence involving 3-digit numbers | Progressions. |
| 3. | Determine the missing multiplicand in a number sentence involving basic facts |  |
| 4. | Determine the missing dividend or dïvisor in a number sentence involving basic facts |  |
| 5. | Recognize equivalent multiplication or division expressions involving basic facts |  |

## Geometry and Measurement

This score suggests Timothy has an understanding of how to measure lengths and convert within measurement systems and how to add and subtract with compound units of length. Based on this score, Timothy should practice solving problems involving elapsed time within an hour and temperature change.

Printed Monday, September 12, 2011 10:03:15 AM

| School: Oakwood Elementary School <br> Class: Mrs. Fox's Class <br> Some skills are considered Core skills; <br> Geometry and Measurement these skills are the | Teacher: Mrs. S. Fox Grade: 4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Skills to Learn stu |  |
| 1. O Tell time to the minute their skill level. <br> 2. Convert hours to minutes or minutes to seconds <br> 3. Calculate elapsed time within an hour, given two clocks, without regrouping <br> 4. Calculate elapsed time within an hour, given two clocks, with regrouping <br> 5. WP: Calculate elapsed time within an hour given two clocks |  |

## Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability

This score suggests Timothy has an understanding of how to construct and analyze tables and of beginning probability concepts. Based on this score, Timothy should focus on skills found in the Numbers and Operations, the Algebra, and the Geometry and Measurement domains at this time.

There are no recommended skills for this domain at this difficulty level.

Share this report with parents and students.

## Longitudinal Report

After changing your viewing options, click Update to refresh the data


| Grade | School Year Aug 15 - Oct 15 (Fall) | Percent of Students by District Benchmark Category | Total Students | $40+P R$ | $25-39 \mathrm{PR}$ | $10-24 \text { PR }$ | $\square_{1-9 ~ P R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1 | 2011-2012 Grade 1 | The students in | 111 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ 65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | -- | Grade 1 (2010-2011) are the same students |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | -- | (2011-2012). |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 2 | 2011-2012 Grade 2 |  | 111 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \% \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 1 |  | 111 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | -- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Grade 3 | 2011-2012 Grade 3 |  | 102 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ 60 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \% \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 2 |  | 102 | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18 \% \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009-2010 Grade 1 |  | 102 | $\begin{gathered} 50 \% \\ 51 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \% \\ 22 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ 20 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grade 4 | 2011-2012 Grade 4 |  | 110 | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 23 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 3 |  | 110 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \% \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \% \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009-2010 Grade 2 |  | 110 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ 61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grade 5 | 2011-2012 Grade 5 |  | 104 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \% \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ 17 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 4 |  | 104 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ 61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009-2010 Grade 3 |  | 104 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ |

Done Print

## Longitudinal Report

After changing your viewing options, click Update to refresh the data interactive report
to view student
progress over

| School | Oakwood Elementary School $\vee$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | All Grades $\quad \vee$ | Or Select Multiple Grades |
| Method | Cross Sectional (Same grade year to year - ex: G3, G3, G3) <br> Growth (Same students over multiple years - ex: G1, G2, G3) Only include students who tested in all timeframes |  |
| Timeframe | Last 3 years v | Aug 15 - Oct 15 (Fall) V |
| Update |  |  | years.

Done Print

Oakwood Elementary School - Cross Sectional

| Grade | School Year Aug 15 - Oct 15 (Fall) | Percent of Students by District Benchmark Category | Total Students | $40+P R$ | $25-39 \mathrm{PR}$ | $\begin{gathered} \square \\ 10-24 \mathrm{PR} \end{gathered}$ | $\square_{1-9 \mathrm{PR}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1 | 2011-2012 Grade 1 |  | 111 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ 65 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 1 |  | 106 | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ 58 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32 \% \\ 35 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009-2010 Grade 1 |  | 112 | $\begin{gathered} 51 \% \\ 57 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 41 \% \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grade 2 | 2011-2012 Grade 2 |  | 113 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 2 |  | 113 | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ 32 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009-2010 Grade 2 |  | 103 | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ 56 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ 37 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grade 3 | 2011-2012 Grade 3 |  | 105 | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% \\ 70 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 3 |  | 101 | $\begin{gathered} 62 \% \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ 13 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009-2010 Grade 3 |  | 109 | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 \% \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grade 4 | 2011-2012 Grade 4 |  | 110 | $\begin{gathered} 61 \% \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 4 |  | 113 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ 67 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ 21 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009-2010 Grade 4 |  | 117 | $\begin{gathered} 54 \% \\ 63 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ 34 \end{gathered}$ |
| Grade 5 | 2011-2012 Grade 5 |  | 115 | $\begin{gathered} 66 \% \\ 76 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14 \% \\ 15 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2010-2011 Grade 5 |  | 101 | $\begin{gathered} 60 \% \\ 61 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24 \% \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009-2010 Grade 5 |  | 107 | $\begin{gathered} 58 \% \\ 62 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27 \% \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ |

Done
Print

Parent Report<br>for Timothy Bell

Provides
ore information
Printed Monday, September 12, 2011 9:12:15 AM
about a single
STAR Math test
School: Oakwood Elementary School
Teacher: Mrs. S. Fox
Class: Mrs. Fox's Class
Test Date: September 8,

## Dear Parent or Guardian of Timothy Bell:

Timothy has taken a STAR Math computer-adaptive math test. This report summarizes your child's scores on the test. As with any test, many factors can affect a student's scores. It is important to understand that these test scores provide only one picture of how your child is doing in school.

| SS | GE | PR | PR <br> Range | Below <br> Average | Average <br> $\mathbf{5 0}$ | Above <br> Average | NCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 563 | 3.3 | 29 | $23-33$ |  |  |  | 38.3 |

## National Norm Scores

## Grade Equivalent (GE): 3.3

Grade Equivalent scores range from 0.0 to 12.9+. A GE score shows how your child's test performance compares with that of other students nationally. Based on the national norms, Timothy's math skills are at a level equal to that of a typical third grader after the third month of the school year.

## Percentile Rank (PR): 29

The Percentile Rank score compares your child's test performance with that of other students nationally in the same grade. With a PR of 29, Timothy's math skills are greater than $29 \%$ of students nationally in the same grade. This score is average. The PR Range indicates that, if this student had taken the STAR Math test numerous times, most of his scores would likely have fallen between 23 and 33 .

I will be using these STAR Math test scores to help Timothy further develop his math skills through the selection of materials for math practice at school. At home, you can help Timothy develop his math skills as well. At this stage, he needs to work with numbers in the thousands and practice multiplying and dividing basic facts.

If you have any questions about your child's scores or these recommendations, please contact me at your convenience.

Teacher Signature: $\qquad$ Date:


Comments:
$\qquad$ Parent Signature:

## Estimados padres o tutores de Timothy Bell:

Timothy presentó la prueba computarizada de matemáticas llamada STAR Math. En este informe le ofrecemos un resumen del puntaje que su hijo(a) obtuvo en la prueba. Como en cualquier evaluación, hay muchos factores que pueden influir en el puntaje de un estudiante. Es importante entender que los resultados de estas pruebas sólo muestran un aspecto del progreso de los estudiantes en la escuela.

| SS | GE | PR | Rango <br> del PR | Por debajo <br> del promedio | Promedio <br> $\mathbf{5 0}$ | Por encima <br> del promedio | NCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 563 | 3.3 | 29 | $23-33$ |  |  | 38.3 |  |

## Puntaje con respecto al promedio nacional:

Grado equivalente (GE, por Grade Equivalent): 3.3
El Grado equivalente va desde 0.0 hasta 12.9+. Este indicador compara el rendimiento que tuvo su hijo(a) en la prueba con el de otros estudiantes de todo el país. Según los promedios nacionales, Timothy tiene un nivel de habilidad en matemáticas igual al de un estudiante típico de tercer grado en el tercer mes del año escolar.

Valor percentil (PR, por Percentile Rank): 29
El Valor percentil compara el rendimiento que tuvo su hijo(a) en la prueba con el de otros estudiantes de todo el país en el mismo grado. El PR de Timothy es 29. Esto indica que tiene más habilidad en matemáticas que el $29 \%$ de los estudiantes del mismo grado. Este puntaje está en el nivel promedio. El rango del PR indica que, si Timothy hubiera presentado varias veces la prueba STAR Math, su nivel habría estado entre 23 y 33.

Para que Timothy siga desarrollando sus habilidades matemáticas, tendré en cuenta estos resultados de la prueba STAR Math al seleccionar el material con el que practicará matemáticas en clase. En casa, usted también puede ayudar a Timothy a desarrollar sus habilidades matemáticas. En esta etapa, Timothy necesita practicar números expresados en millares y hacer ejercicios con operaciones básicas de multiplicación y división.

Si tiene alguna pregunta sobre las puntuaciones obtenidas o sobre estas recomendaciones, por favor comuníquese conmigo cuando guste.

Firma del (de la) maestro(a): $\qquad$ Fecha: $\qquad$

Firma del padre o de la madre: $\qquad$ Fecha: $\qquad$

Comentarios:

Printed Friday, September 9, 2011 1:43:25 PM



|  | Benchmark |  | Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Categories / Levels | Scaled Score | Percentile Rank | Number | Percent |
| At/Above Benchmark |  |  |  |  |
| At/Above Benchmark | At/Above 592 SS | At/Above 40 PR | 67 | $61 \%$ |
| Category Total |  |  | 67 | $61 \%$ |
| Below Benchmark |  |  |  |  |
| On Watch | Below 553 SS | Below 40 PR | 9 | $8 \%$ |
| Intervention | Below 553 SS | Below 25 PR | 11 | $10 \%$ |
| Urgent Intervention | Below 487 SS | Below 10 PR | 23 | $21 \%$ |
| Category Total |  |  | 43 | $39 \%$ |
| Students Tested |  | 110 |  |  |

Key questions to ask based on this and other information: Are you satisfied with the number of student level of performance? Next, consider the level or score that indicates proficiency. Which students just ar you "worried about" and what support within or beyond core instruction is warranted? What support is Screening is the first just below? Do all students represented by your lowest level need urgent intervention?
step in Response to Intervention (RTI). Use this report for grade-level planning and identifying students who need the most help.

## Grade: 4

## Urgent Intervention

| Student | Class | Teacher | Test Date | SS | PR | GE | Accelerated Math ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Library |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caldwell, Cory ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 360 | 1 | 1.4 | Grade 1 |
| Obrien, Tamara ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 392 | 2 | 1.7 | Grade 1 |
| Aschenbrenner, Chris ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/09/2011 | 403 | 2 | 1.8 | Grade 1 |
| Fletcher, Viola ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 404 | 2 | 1.8 | Grade 1 |
| Vaughn, Darren | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 410 | $2^{\text {b }}$ | 1.9 | Grade 1 |
| Keller, Carole | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 417 | $3^{\text {b }}$ | 1.9 | Grade 1 |
| Castro, Joy | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 422 | $3^{\text {b }}$ | 2.0 | Grade 1 |
| Baldwin, Toni | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 425 | $3^{\text {b }}$ | 2.0 | Grade 1 |
| Mailloux, Louis | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/09/2011 | 429 | $3^{\text {b }}$ | 2.0 | Grade 1 |
| Moss, Terrance | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 436 | $3^{b}$ | 2.1 | Grade 1 |
| Miles, Jackie | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 438 | $4^{\text {b }}$ | 2.1 | Grade 1 |
| Weber, Dave | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 446 | $4^{\text {b }}$ | 2.2 | Grade 2 |
| Unger, Jerry | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/09/2011 | 448 | $4^{\text {b }}$ | 2.2 | Grad STAR Math |
| Rodgers, Sabrina | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 449 | $5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2.2 | Gre recommends an |
| Schneider, Isaac | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 457 | $5^{b}$ | 2.3 | Gre instructional level |
| Aguilar, Wade | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 457 | $5^{b}$ | 2.3 | Gra for each student. |
| Mendez, Mathew | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 461 | $5^{b}$ | 2.3 | Grade |
| Stevenson, Erika | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 463 | $6^{\text {b }}$ | 2.3 | Grade 2 or Grace 3 |
| Barber, Kristina | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 467 | $6^{6}$ | 2.3 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Burgess, Katrina | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 478 | $9^{\text {b }}$ | 2.4 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Mullins, Morris | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 479 | $9^{\text {b }}$ | 2.4 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Steele, Allan | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 482 | $9^{\text {b }}$ | 2.5 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Garner, Tracy | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/08/2011 | 485 | $9^{6}$ | 2.5 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Beck, Jo | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 496 | $11^{6}$ | 2.6 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Dennis, Eduardo | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 503 | $13^{6}$ | 2.6 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Lucas, Claudia | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 503 | $13^{\text {b }}$ | 2.6 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Curry, Nelson | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 504 | $13^{6}$ | 2.7 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Riccio, Sara | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/09/2011 | 506 | $13^{\text {b }}$ | 2.7 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Delgado, Freddie | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 512 | $15^{6}$ | 2.7 | Grade 3 |
| Barnett, Darryl | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 515 | $15^{\text {b }}$ | 2.8 | Grade 3 |
| Santos, Juan | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/09/2011 | 519 | $16^{b}$ | 2.8 | Grade 3 |
| Sutton, Georgia | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 534 | $19^{6}$ | 3.0 | Grade 3 |
| Bollig, Brandon | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/08/2011 | 537 | $20^{\text {b }}$ | 3.0 | Grade 3 |
| Hale, Stella | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 547 | $22^{6}$ | 3.1 | Grade 3 |
| Norris, Julio | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 556 | $26^{\text {b }}$ | 3.2 | Grade 3 |
| Bell, Timothy | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/08/2011 | 563 | $29^{\text {b }}$ | 3.3 | Grade 3 |
| Mckinney, Lillie | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 571 | $31^{\text {b }}$ | 3.4 | Grade 3 |
| Lyons, Sidney | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 572 | $31^{\text {b }}$ | 3.4 | Grade 3 |
| Delacruz, Benito | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/09/2011 | 574 | $32^{\text {b }}$ | 3.4 | Grade 3 |

${ }^{6}$ Test date impacts PR. As a result, students with the same SS can have different PR scores and may fall into different screening categories.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ This student was given additional time to complete the test. Exclusively Available for STAR Math Enterprise ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Customers

## Grade: 4

## Urgent Intervention

| Student | Class | Teacher | Test Date | SS | PR | GE | Accelerated Math ${ }^{\text {™ }}$ Library |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jensen, Angie | Math 4B | Adams, M. | 09/09/2011 | 588 | $38^{6}$ | 3.5 | Grade 4 |
| Hubbard, Shelly | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 589 | $39^{\text {b }}$ | 3.6 | Grade 4 |
| Holt, Minnie | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 589 | $39^{\text {b }}$ | 3.6 | Grade 4 |
| Cross, Marion | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/08/2011 | 592 | $40^{6}$ | 3.6 | Grade 4 |
| Salazar, Daisy | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 593 | $40^{6}$ | 3.6 | Grade 4 |
| Farmer, Enrique | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 598 | $42^{6}$ | 3.7 | Grade 4 |
| Ramsey, Byron | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 599 | $42^{\text {b }}$ | 3.7 | Grade 4 |
| Stone, Lisa | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/08/2011 | 602 | $44^{6}$ | 3.7 | Grade 4 |
| Cohen, Arturo | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 603 | $44^{6}$ | 3.7 | Grade 4 |
| Walsh, Johnnie | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 604 | $45^{\text {b }}$ | 3.8 | Grade 4 |
| Powers, Nina | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 605 | $45^{\text {b }}$ | 3.8 | Grade 4 |
| Santos, Kirk | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 607 | $46^{\text {b }}$ | 3.8 | Grade 4 |
| Daniel, Violet | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 608 | $47^{6}$ | 3.8 | Grade 4 |
| Thornton, Rene | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 610 | $47^{6}$ | 3.9 | Grade 4 |
| Chang, Michelle | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/08/2011 | 613 | $49^{6}$ | 3.9 | Grade 4 |
| Sharp, Becky | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 615 | $51^{\text {b }}$ | 3.9 | Grade 4 |
| Barker, Leah | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 616 | $51^{\text {b }}$ | 4.0 | Grade 4 |
| Guzman, Penny | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 618 | $52^{6}$ | 4.0 | Grade 4 |
| Warner, Sherri | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 620 | $53^{6}$ | 4.0 | Grade 4 |
| Love, Kurt | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 621 | $53^{6}$ | 4.0 | Grade 4 |
| Tate, Sergio | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 625 | $56^{\text {b }}$ | 4.1 | Grade 4 |
| Mcdaniel, Ted | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 625 | $56^{6}$ | 4.1 | Grade 4 |
| Chambers, Nellie | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 627 | $57^{\text {b }}$ | 4.1 | Grade 4 |
| Mcgee, Terrence | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 630 | $58^{6}$ | 4.2 | Grade 4 |
| Manning, Fredrick | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 633 | $60^{6}$ | 4.3 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Jennings, Erik | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 634 | $60^{6}$ | 4.3 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Jimenez, Neil | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 638 | $61^{\text {b }}$ | 4.3 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Anderson, Marcus | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/08/2011 | 639 | $62^{6}$ | 4.4 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Vega, Austin | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 640 | $62^{\text {b }}$ | 4.4 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Rhodes, Caroline | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 643 | $63^{\text {b }}$ | 4.4 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Page, Kay | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 645 | $64{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4.5 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Lambert, Lydia | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 646 | $65^{\text {b }}$ | 4.5 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Rodriguez, Carlos | Math 4A | Adams, M. | 09/08/2011 | 646 | $65^{\text {b }}$ | 4.5 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Ball, Naomi | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 650 | $66^{6}$ | 4.5 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Bowen, Bobbie | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 652 | $68^{\text {b }}$ | 4.6 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Bush, Tyrone | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 657 | $70^{6}$ | 4.7 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Halden, Susan | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/08/2011 | 657 | $70^{6}$ | 4.7 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Griffith, Misty | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 659 | $71^{\text {b }}$ | 4.7 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Richmond, Angela | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/08/2011 | 660 | $71^{\text {b }}$ | 4.7 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |

${ }^{b}$ Test date impacts PR. As a result, students with the same SS can have different PR scores and may fall into different screening categories.

## Grade: 4

## Urgent Intervention

| Student | Class | Teacher | Test Date | SS | PR | GE | Accelerated Math ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ Library |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Schultz, Cassandra | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 662 | $72^{6}$ | 4.8 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Leonard, Ivan | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 671 | $76^{6}$ | 4.9 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Newman, Vickie | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 679 | $80^{6}$ | 5.1 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Benson, Clifton | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 683 | $81^{6}$ | 5.2 | Grade 5 |
| Gonzales, Maria | Math 4A | Adams, M. | 09/08/2011 | 685 | $82^{6}$ | 5.2 | Grade 5 |
| O'Neil, Sarah | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/08/2011 | 687 | $83^{\text {b }}$ | 5.2 | Grade 5 |
| Mack, Kent | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 689 | $83^{6}$ | 5.3 | Grade 5 |
| Glover, Stuart | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 701 | $87^{6}$ | 5.5 | Grade 5 |
| Hardy, Kelly | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/06/2011 | 705 | $89^{6}$ | 5.6 | Grade 5 |
| Parks, Lonnie | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 714 | $90^{6}$ | 5.8 | Grade 5 |
| Harmon, Alejandro | Math 4H | Rowan, B. | 09/08/2011 | 719 | $92^{6}$ | 5.9 | Grade 5 |
| Haynes, Mattie | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 723 | $92^{\text {b }}$ | 5.9 | Grade 5 |
| Reeves, Ramona | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 736 | $95^{\text {b }}$ | 6.2 | Grade 6 |
| Pena, Dora | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 740 | $95^{6}$ | 6.2 | Grade 6 |
| Wolfe, Julian | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 752 | $96{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.5 | Grade 6 |
| Watts, Courtney | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 753 | $96{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6.5 | Grade 6 |
| Rodriquez, Tanya | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 761 | $97^{\text {b }}$ | 6.6 | Grade 6 |
| Munoz, Priscilla | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 764 | $97^{6}$ | 6.7 | Grade 6 |
| Gregory, Constance | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 776 | $97^{6}$ | >7 | Grade 7 |
| Dawson, Lance | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 779 | $97^{6}$ | >7 | Grade 7 |
| Thiess, Kimberly | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | 09/09/2011 | 782 | $98^{\text {b }}$ | >7 | Grade 7 |
| Horton, Jessie | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 782 | $98^{6}$ | >7 | Grade 7 |
| Bates, Marian | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 791 | $98^{6}$ | >7 | Grade 7 |
| Graves, Jamie | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 793 | $98^{6}$ | >7 | Grade 7 |
| Shelton, Christian | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 805 | $98^{6}$ | >7 | Grade 7 |
| Valdez, Mae | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/07/2011 | 823 | $99^{\text {b }}$ | >7 | Grade 8 or Algebra I |
| Craig, Marcia | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 831 | $99^{\text {b }}$ | >7 | Grade 8 or Algebra I |
| Lowe, Claude | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 843 | $99^{6}$ | >7 | Grade 8 or Algebra I |
| Mann, Seth | Math 4F | Mills, C. | 09/08/2011 | 854 | $99^{\text {b }}$ | >7 | Algebra 1, Algebra 2, or Geometry |
| Barrett, Javier | Math 4C | Crowley, B. | 09/06/2011 | 854 | $99^{6}$ | >7 | Algebra 1, Algebra 2, or Geometry |
| Chandler, Brandy | Math 4E | Latner, K. | 09/07/2011 | 882 | $99^{6}$ | >7 | Algebra 1, Algebra 2, or Geometry |
| Santiago, Cody | Math 4D | Dillon, A. | 09/06/2011 | 891 | $99^{6}$ | >7 | Algebra 1, Algebra 2, or Geometry |

[^4]STAR
Math ${ }^{-}$


Students

|  | Benchmark |  | Students |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Categories / Levels | Scaled Score | Percentile Rank | Number | Percent |
| At/Above Benchmark |  |  |  |  |
| At/Above Benchmark | At/Above 592 SS | At/Above 40 PR | 7 | $47 \%$ |
| Category Total |  |  | 7 | $47 \%$ |
| Below Benchmark | Below 553 SS | Below 40 PR |  |  |
| On Watch | Below 553 SS | Below 25 PR | 2 | $13 \%$ |
| Intervention | Below 487 SS | Below 10 PR | 3 | $20 \%$ |
| Urgent Intervention |  | 3 | $20 \%$ |  |
| Category Total |  | 8 | $53 \%$ |  |
| Students Tested |  | 15 |  |  |

Key questions to ask based on this and other information: Are you satisfied with the number of stu level of performance? Next, consider the level or score that indicates proficiency. Which students just Screening is the first you "worried about" and what support within or beyond core instruction is warranted? What support step in Response to 1 just below? Do all students represented by your lowest level need urgent intervention?

Use these key questions to Intervention (RTI). Use this report for grade-level planning and identifying students who need the help determine next steps.

## Screening Report <br> for <State>

Pages following
the graph provide a
Printed Friday, September 9, 2011 1:43:25 PM
School: Oakwood Elementary School
Reporting Period: 9/ which students are in each category

## Class: Mrs. Fox's Class

Teacher: Fox, S.

## Urgent Intervention

| Student | Class | Teacher | Test Date | SS | PR | GE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | | Accelerated Math ${ }^{\text {M }}$ |
| :---: |
| Library |

Intervention

| Student | Class | Teacher | Test Date | SS | PR | GE | Accelerated Math ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Riccio, Sara | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 09 / 2011$ | 506 | 13 | 2.7 | Grade 2 or Grade 3 |
| Santos, Juan | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 09 / 2011$ | 519 | 16 | 2.8 | Grade 3 |
| Bollig, Brandon | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 08 / 2011$ | 537 | 20 | 3.0 | Grade 3 |

## On Watch

| Student | Class | Teacher | Test Date | SS | PR | GE | Ac | STAR Math <br> recommends an <br> appropriate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bell, Timothy | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 08 / 2011$ | 563 | 29 | 3.3 | Gren | instructional level |
| Delacruz, Benito | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 09 / 2011$ | 574 | 32 | 3.4 | Grac for each student. |  |

## At/Above Benchmark

| Student | Class | Teacher | Test Date | SS | PR | GE | Accelerated Math ${ }^{\text {M }}$ <br> Library |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stone, Lisa | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 08 / 2011$ | 602 | 44 | 3.7 | Grade 4 |
| Chang, Michelle | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 08 / 2011$ | 613 | 49 | 3.9 | Grade 4 |
| Anderson, Marcus | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 08 / 2011$ | 639 | 62 | 4.4 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Halden, Susan | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 08 / 2011$ | 657 | 70 | 4.7 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| Richmond, Angela | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 08 / 2011$ | 660 | 71 | 4.7 | Grade 4 or Grade 5 |
| O'Neil, Sarah | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 08 / 2011$ | 687 | 83 | 5.2 | Grade 5 |
| Thiess, Kimberly | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, S. | $09 / 09 / 2011$ | 782 | 98 | $>7$ | Grade 7 |

Summary Report
Printed Monday, September 12, 2011 3:40:06 PM
Use researchbased, reliable data

## Class: Math 4A

| Student | Class | Teacher | Test Date | Rank | GP | SS | GE | 4. | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anderson, Marcus | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/08/2011 | 5 | 4.02 | 639 | 4.4 | 62 | 56.4 |
| Aschenbrenner, Chris | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/09/2011 | 15 | 4.03 | 403 | 1.8 | 2 | 6.7 |
| Bell, Timothy | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/08/2011 | 9 | 4.02 | 563 | 3.3 | 29 | 38.3 |
| Bollig, Brandon | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/08/2011 | 10 | 4.02 | 537 | 3.0 | 20 | 32.3 |
| Chang, Michelle | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/08/2011 | 6 | 4.02 | 613 | 3.9 | 49 | 49.5 |
| Delacruz, Benito | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/09/2011 | 8 | 4.03 | 574 | 3.4 | 32 | 40.1 |
| Halden, Susan | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/08/2011 | 4 | 4.02 | 657 | 4.7 | 70 | 61.0 |
| Mailloux, Louis | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/09/2011 | 14 | 4.03 | 429 | 2.0 | 3 | 10.4 |
| O'Neil, Sarah | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/08/2011 | 2 | 4.02 | 687 | 5.2 | 83 | 70.1 |
| Riccio, Sara | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/09/2011 | 12 | 4.03 | 506 | 2.7 | 13 | 26.3 |
| Richmond, Angela | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/08/2011 | 3 | 4.02 | 660 | 4.7 | 71 | 61.7 |
| Santos, Juan | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/09/2011 | 11 | 4.03 | 519 | 2.8 | 16 | 29.1 |
| Stone, Lisa | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/08/2011 | 7 | 4.02 | 602 | 3.7 | 44 | 46.8 |
| Theiss, Kimberly | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/09/2011 | 1 | 4.03 | 782 | 7.4 | 98 | 93.3 |
| Unger, Jerry | Mrs. Fox's Class | Fox, Susan | 09/09/2011 | 13 | 4.03 | 448 | 2.2 | 4 | 13.1 |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 4.02 | 575 | 3.4 | 32 | 40.1 |

## PR Distribution Summary

| Percentile | Students | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Below 25th | 6 | 40.0 |
| 25th to 49th | 4 | 26.7 |
| 50th to 74th | 3 | 20.0 |
| 75th \& Above | 2 | 13.3 |
| Number of Students: 15 |  |  |

Number of Students: 15

GE Distribution Summary

| GE | Students | Percent |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $0.0-0.9$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| $1.0-1.9$ | 1 | 6.7 |
| $2.0-2.9$ | 4 | 26.7 |
| $3.0-3.9$ | 5 | 33.3 |
| $4.0-4.9$ | 3 | 20.0 |
| $5.0-5.9$ | 1 | 6.7 |
| $6.0-6.9$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| $7.0-7.9$ | 1 | 6.7 |
| $8.0-8.9$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| $9.0-9.9$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| $10.0-10.9$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| $11.0-11.9$ | 0 | 0.0 |
| $12.0-12.9+$ | 0 | 0.0 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{a}$ GE, NCE, and PR scores are based on 2002 norms because this test was taken before the 2012 re-norming.

[^1]:    $\rightarrow$ Next Steps: These are skill areas the student is ready to learn and practice, based on their Scaled Score. Skill areas with a score below 40 may not have been presented to the student yet or may be too difficult at this time.
    ODesignates a core skill. Core skills identify the most critical skills to learn at each grade level.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Student Growth Percentile is shown when tests are taken within the SGP testing windows.

[^3]:    Algebra

    1. Extend a repeating picture pattern
[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ Test date impacts PR. As a result, students with the same SS can have different PR scores and may fall into different screening categories.

